

Is 1970sblackandwhite.com a scam? TrustSniffer's high-risk assessment: 0/100

1970sblackandwhite.com

Verdict

0

OUT OF 100

CRITICAL RISK

1970sblackandwhite.com shows high-risk / scam indicators.

This assessment found strong scam indicators. Do not pay, sign in, or share personal details with this site.

Sensitive Interaction Risk

Governance Risk

Assessed 2026-08-18 by automated analysis. Classification confidence 70%.

What this site appears to be	A personal photographer's site showcasing 1970s Chinatown photos, offering photo orders, and listing contact information (phone and references to other sites). No login/payment forms or high-risk elements detected in the HTML provided.
------------------------------	---

Evidence status and limitations

Evidence completeness: UNKNOWN

- The real-world operator identity was not independently verified.
- Module-level completeness metadata is unavailable for this legacy report.

At a glance

The checks that decide most of this verdict.

Malware engines	12 flagged it VirusTotal	RISK
Google Safe Browsing	Not listed Google	CLEAR
Abuse reports on the host	0 reports AbuseIPDB; shared hosting inflates this count	NOTED
Domain age	2.4 years old Registered history	CLEAR
Web archive	Archived since 2024 17 snapshots	CLEAR
Certificate	Encrypted connection Issued by YR2	NOTED

The page as captured

<https://1970sblackandwhite.com/>



What 1970sblackandwhite.com served when TrustSniffer captured it on 2026-08-18. The page may look different now.

Key findings

- Automated classification: Sensitive Interaction Risk.
- Domain age: 1 to 3 years (registration continuity).
- Public web-archive history exists since 2024.
- At least one reputation service TrustSniffer consulted had flagged this domain at assessment time.

Full analysis

Security Alert

Fortinet, Sophos flagged this site in external intelligence checks, indicating elevated compromise/scam exposure that requires immediate caution.

This website is a personal photography portfolio presenting 1970s photographs of New York City's Chinatown, an artist biography, gallery content, and instructions for ordering prints or commissioning work. Its apparent business model is direct sales of photographic prints and promotion of the photographer's broader artistic work.

Scam/Impersonation Risk

The site's visible content is consistent with a small personal portfolio: the homepage identifies a photographer, describes historical work, provides a telephone contact, and contains no login form, payment form, wallet connection, or other overtly malicious interaction mechanism. However, this benign presentation is outweighed by confirmed external threat detections, including a blacklist hit from multiple external sources and malicious classifications by 12 evaluated detectors, with Fortinet and Sophos among the flagged vendors. Historical intelligence also records a phishing-known indicator, although the archive analysis found no suspicious repurposing or semantic drift and no conflicting legal identities or direct brand impersonation were observed.

The available evidence therefore supports a **critical sensitive-interaction risk**, even though the page itself does not display an obvious phishing workflow.

EVIDENCE

- The homepage presents “1970’s Photographs by Larry Berman,” photo galleries, print-order information, and telephone contact details; analysis identified no login or sensitive payment form.
- External reputation telemetry recorded 12 malicious detections and a confirmed blacklist hit; Fortinet and Sophos were specifically identified among the flagged vendors.
- The domain was registered on 2024-04-14 and is approximately 2.35 years old; archive coverage contains 17 snapshots spanning 2024–2026, with 3 years tracked.

Regulatory Verification Notes

The homepage presents the operator as Larry Berman, a photographer, artist, and art-show consultant, but the available material does not independently verify the real-world identity behind that presentation. No licensing claim, registration number, or formal commercial disclosure was identified; for a photography portfolio this may reflect the informal nature of the activity, but it remains a transparency gap if purchases or commissions are contemplated. The third-party broker-reputation dataset returned no match, which is contextual only and does not establish licensing, registration, or regulatory standing.

EVIDENCE

- The homepage identifies Larry Berman and describes photography, artistic work, and art-show consulting.
- No license claim, license number, or formal registration disclosure is visible in the supplied site content.
- No corresponding broker entry was returned in the available third-party broker context.

What to Verify Next

Any prospective purchaser or commissioning party should independently confirm that the person named on the site controls the associated photography work and contact channels, using an established external portfolio or social presence rather than relying solely on the website. Before sending funds or personal information, the intended goods, pricing, fulfilment arrangements, refund terms, and payment protections should be confirmed through an independently sourced route. Where applicable to the transaction jurisdiction, any relevant business-registration or consumer-sales obligations should be checked through official records.

EVIDENCE

- The homepage directs visitors to order photographs and references other sites associated with the named photographer, creating identifiable channels for independent cross-checking.
- The homepage provides a telephone contact, allowing transaction details to be confirmed without relying exclusively on online submission.
- The supplied page contains no visible payment or credential form, so payment and identity verification would need to occur through separately validated arrangements.

Summary Verdict

The website should be treated as unsuitable for sensitive interaction or transactional reliance. Its apparently legitimate portfolio presentation does not overcome the serious external threat-reputation contradiction, and the operator's real-world identity remains only likely rather than independently verified.

Infrastructure Integrity

The site is directly hosted without a detected CDN or WAF edge layer, resolving to a likely origin rather than a distributed protective network. This provides limited infrastructure-based mitigation and should not be interpreted as evidence of legitimacy.

EVIDENCE

- Served from AS7859, PAIR-NETWORKS / pair Networks, United States; resolved IP address: 66.39.154.179.
- No CDN was detected; one unique IP and one likely origin IP were identified.
- TLS certificate issued by YR2 with DV validation, valid to 2026-11-03.

Closing Assessment

Access should be limited to passive viewing; credentials, payment details, and orders should not be submitted unless independent identity, transaction, and security checks produce satisfactory results.

Written analysis generated 2026-08-18 by the TrustSniffer Analysis Engine from the evidence in this report.

What the analysis found

2 findings contributed to this verdict, raised by registration and ownership, analysis engine, external reputation.

01 Governance Risk

- The registration record withholds contact details for the operator.
Registration and ownership rule:KF_WHOIS_HIDDEN
- Registration details are held behind a privacy service, so no operator is named publicly. This is common and legal, and it also means there is nobody to check.
Registration and ownership rule:KF_WHOIS_PRIVATE

02 Sensitive Interaction Risk

- The analysis matched a pattern TrustSniffer treats as a direct threat to a visitor.
Analysis engine signal:direct_threat
- An external threat feed lists this domain: either Google Safe Browsing marked it malicious, or a significant number of malware engines flagged it.
External reputation rule:EXT_BLACKLIST_CRITICAL

Identity verification

Status	LIKELY
--------	--------

Identity score	73/100
Identity verification confidence	37%

- Trusted social count=1

Identity verification measures whether the site can be independently connected to a real-world brand or person. It is separate from the classification confidence.

What TrustSniffer observed

First-party facts recorded during the assessment of 1970sblackandwhite.com.

- The request stayed on 1970sblackandwhite.com. It was not redirected to another domain. CLEAR
- Registration is published under ENOM, INC.. CLEAR
- DNS for this domain is served by pair.com, across 2 name servers. NOTED
- The registration is paid up to 2027-04-14. NOTED
- The earliest public archive of this site is from 2024-04-20. CLEAR
- It is hosted on PAIR-NETWORKS, from a server in US. NOTED

Domain intelligence

Registrar	ENOM, INC.
Hosting	PAIR-NETWORKS - pair Networks, US
Country	US
Server IP	66.39.154.179
Name servers	DNS4.PAIR.COM, NS9.NS0.COM
SSL issuer	YR2
SSL expiry	2026-11-03
Domain age	2.35 years (continuous registration)
Domain expiry	2027-04-14
Archive first seen	2024-04-20
Archive snapshots	17
Reputation	VirusTotal: 12 flagged AbuseIPDB: 0 reports Google Safe Browsing: 0 matches

About this assessment

A trust score summarises the evidence TrustSniffer could collect about 1970sblackandwhite.com at assessment time. It is a starting point for your own judgement, not a guarantee: a high score means the signals were consistent with a legitimately operated site, a low or critical score means several risk patterns were present.

TrustSniffer assesses a website from the evidence it can collect at a point in time: domain registration and age, hosting and certificate, the content the site served, and third-party reputation feeds. A score is a summary of that

evidence, not a guarantee and not a legal finding. There is no human rating and no user review in it. A site can change after it is assessed.

This report online: <https://trustsniffer.com/report/1970sblackandwhite.com> · assessed 2026-08-18