

Is kryptonclient.vip safe? TrustSniffer's low-trust assessment: 0/100

kryptonclient.vip

Verdict

0

OUT OF 100

CRITICAL RISK

kryptonclient.vip shows high-risk / scam indicators.

This assessment found strong scam indicators. Do not pay, sign in, or share personal details with this site.

Sensitive Interaction Risk

Assessed 2026-09-30 by automated analysis. Classification confidence 50%.

What this site appears to be

GPT summary status=skipped | No usable content for GPT summary (clean/dom/html all empty)

Evidence status and limitations

- Evidence completeness: UNKNOWN
- The real-world operator identity was not independently verified.
 - Module-level completeness metadata is unavailable for this legacy report.

At a glance

The checks that decide most of this verdict.

Malware engines	4 flagged it VirusTotal	RISK
Google Safe Browsing	Not listed Google	CLEAR
Abuse reports on the host	0% confidence AbuseIPDB, 0 reports; shared hosting inflates reports	CLEAR
Domain age	Not available No registration record was returned	NOTED
Web archive	Never archived No public history of this site	WATCH

The page as captured

No screenshot is retained for this report.

Key findings

- Automated classification: Sensitive Interaction Risk.
- At least one reputation service TrustSniffer consulted had flagged this domain at assessment time.

Full analysis

Security Alert

Fortinet, Sophos flagged this site in external intelligence checks, indicating elevated compromise/scam exposure that requires immediate caution.

This website is the domain kryptonclient.vip. The available capture does not provide a substantive visitor-facing presentation, so its purpose, operator, and business model cannot be established from the supplied page evidence.

Scam/Impersonation Risk

The site presents a critical sensitive-interaction risk. It is recorded as blacklisted by two external sources, with multiple malicious detections and a threat signal from Fortinet and Sophos. These findings are direct contradictions to ordinary trust expectations and are sufficient to treat login, credential submission, financial activity, and downloads as unsafe. No separate conflicting legal-entity names or confirmed brand impersonation were identified; the decisive concern is the corroborated external threat reputation. Automated interaction testing also produced suspicious network behavior, although no wallet-drainer, clipboard-hijacking, hidden-form, or external-post activity was observed.

EVIDENCE

- External reputation evaluation recorded 4 malicious and 1 suspicious detections.
- The domain was reported by Fortinet and Sophos in the evaluated threat detections.
- Blacklist status was recorded by both VT and EXTERNAL_BLACKLIST.
- Automated interaction telemetry recorded 2 runs, 0 successful runs, and a 100% synthetic-response ratio.

Regulatory Verification Notes

The real-world operator identity is not independently verified, and the available evidence does not establish licensing, registration, or a regulated affiliation. This is a material verification gap for any site intended to support sensitive or financial activity, but the supplied material does not establish a specific licensing violation. The absence of a match in the third-party broker dataset is only contextual and does not constitute regulatory clearance. The site's governance and ownership transparency therefore remain insufficient for reliance.

EVIDENCE

- Identity verification status is recorded as unknown.
- On-page disclosure state is recorded as unclear.
- The third-party broker dataset recorded no match for the domain.

What to Verify Next

Before any interaction beyond passive observation, an independent registry or regulator should confirm the operator's legal name, authorization status, and approved domain. Contact details should be validated through an independently sourced channel rather than through the website alone. Any proposed software, browser extension, or file associated with the domain should undergo separate malware analysis before execution.

EVIDENCE

- The supplied evidence contains no independently confirmed legal operator or regulator reference.
- The external reputation evidence requires resolution through an independent security review before access is reconsidered.
- The captured site evidence does not establish a verifiable business purpose or approved service scope.

Summary Verdict

The overall posture is critical and unsuitable for sensitive interaction. The available evidence supports treating the domain as an untrusted, potentially harmful online resource rather than an established service. Operator legitimacy remains unverified.

Infrastructure Integrity

The hosting infrastructure is attributed to Gname.com Pte. Ltd. in GB. No trusted CDN, WAF, edge-IP set, or identifiable origin-IP posture is present in the supplied infrastructure facts, so the hosting layer provides no meaningful mitigating assurance.

Closing Assessment

Access should remain limited to non-interactive observation while independent identity, authorization, and security validation are completed; credentials, financial information, downloads, and executable content should not be provided or used.

Written analysis generated 2026-09-30 by the TrustSniffer Analysis Engine from the evidence in this report.

What the analysis found

2 findings contributed to this verdict, raised by external reputation, hosting and network.

01 External reputation

- An external threat feed lists this domain: either Google Safe Browsing marked it malicious, or a significant number of malware engines flagged it.

External reputation rule:EXT_BLACKLIST_CRITICAL

02 **Hosting and network**

- The hosting and network setup shows a moderate level of risk indicators.
Hosting and network rule:DNS_RISK_MED
- The domain is young and has almost nothing else set up around it.
Hosting and network rule:DNS_SPARSE_YOUNG_DOMAIN
- The domain is young and has a very small footprint on the network.
Hosting and network rule:DNS_LOW_FOOTPRINT_YOUNG_DOMAIN
- Several separate hosting and network checks came back negative.
Hosting and network rule:DNS_MULTIPLE_NEGATIVE_SIGNALS

Identity verification

Status	UNKNOWN
Identity score	50/100
Identity verification confidence	30%

- AI page analysis unavailable (page captured; identity not AI-verified)

Identity verification measures whether the site can be independently connected to a real-world brand or person. It is separate from the classification confidence.

What TrustSniffer observed

First-party facts recorded during the assessment of kryptonclient.vip.

- The request stayed on kryptonclient.vip. It was not redirected to another domain. [CLEAR](#)

Domain intelligence

Registrar	Not available
Hosting	Not available
Country	Not available
Server IP	Not available
Name servers	Not available
CDN / edge network	Not detected
Resolved IP addresses	0
Edge IP addresses	0
Likely origin IP addresses	0
SSL issuer	Not available
SSL expiry	Not available
Domain age	Not available (no registration date was returned)

Domain expiry	Not available
Archive first seen	Not available
Archive snapshots	0
Reputation	VirusTotal: 4 flagged AbuseIPDB: 0% confidence, 0 reports Google Safe Browsing: 0 matches

About this assessment

A trust score summarises the evidence TrustSniffer could collect about kryptonclient.vip at assessment time. It is a starting point for your own judgement, not a guarantee: a high score means the signals were consistent with a legitimately operated site, a low or critical score means several risk patterns were present.

TrustSniffer assesses a website from the evidence it can collect at a point in time: domain registration and age, hosting and certificate, the content the site served, and third-party reputation feeds. A score is a summary of that evidence, not a guarantee and not a legal finding. There is no human rating and no user review in it. A site can change after it is assessed.

This report online: <https://trustsniffer.com/report/kryptonclient.vip> · assessed 2026-09-30